

**The final section of the Individual survey asked respondents:  
*Please use this space to share other comments and/or feed back to the Neighbourhood Plan Team on anything you feel is not covered via the questions in either of the surveys.***

**The following are all the comments we received.**

- Thank you!
- Please help support the village attempt to keep the White Horse as a pub
- please keep the S3 bus running to and from Oxford (come what may!)
- No thanks
- The pub, for me is an essential for community cohesion and building stronger relationships between residents. That said, it is vital that the pub be run in a way which strives to be inclusive to all different views, want and needs of a pub, of which, I believe there are many and they are widely varied. I believe it will be a very perfect opportunity, of which there aren't so many and definitely none as good, to rub away at the evident social divide between those who think themselves upper class and those who are not. Every place has its variation and we are a lively and community spirited village because of this, because of the different views, the different people, the family heritage, our little traditions and achievements. If the village becomes saturated, with upper class and upper class thinkers then the life will surely drain from it. Look at Combe, for example. My over arching point is that, to be full of life and spirit the village needs to maintain its natural balance of rough and polished, and not be unnaturally shifted one way or the other based upon individual preferences. The true locals should not be disregarded and if they have a longstanding way of life, this should not be forcibly changed. I believe that if the pub is bought and then run bearing these things in mind then it could have great power to make a huge amount of residents feel, comfortable, accepted and included; a genuine part of the village, the community and not just a resident living in the village. Sorry to babble on, take what you can from this as relevant feedback. Many thanks
- Community owned renewable energy schemes such as wind, solar and geothermal
- Please bring back the pub, keep away the streetlights, support the school and consider renewable, environmentally friendly developments
- Thanks to everyone who put this survey together and will collate the results
- No
- No
- Oxford no longer the destination of choice for shops/services.
- I don't think access to affordable housing development needs to be restricted to people with local connection. I would like to see community led housing included in the Neighbourhood plan I would like to see a proposal to redevelop the whole site around and including the village hall, sports and social club and garage areas with a new fit for purpose hall with bar and affordable housing and market housing to cross subsidise

transport needs are very unpredictable, as an increasing number of people work from home. A shuttle system to stations and to connect with the Smart Zone at Woodstock might be the most affordable, viable solution. Oxford is no longer the centre for shopping, employment and hospitals (hospital is no longer central) that it once was, but our transport provision tends to remain commissioned on the basis that it is

- I think the absolute top priority should be the safety of residents, and to that end I strongly believe that there should be a pavement on at least one side of the main road through Stonesfield. In effect, this means Laughton Hill, The Ridings, The Green and Pond Hill through to where the pavement begins near to the shop. This needs to be done asap before someone is seriously injured or killed.
- We need the S3 to be more often and on Sundays, makes it very difficult when working late in Woodstock etc
- It is very important to maintain the village rural life. Road furniture is a disgrace. Housing needs to be truly affordable for young people who will stay in the village with young families. No more £1 million house should be allowed. I do not know how this can be achieved but if we lose school village will fail as research shows on studies done on rural areas. Light industry should be encouraged so people can live and work in the village. Too many people are bringing in unrealistic use of real life, carping on about the roads et cetera yet letting dogs foul the village. What an irony is that the £50 voucher is not for the village shop.
- I feel that there should be a greater number of small businesses in and around the village providing local employment opportunities cutting car journeys and make in the village more vibrant diverse community as villages used to be. When we moved here more than 20 years ago there are quite a few local businesses some of which have been squeezed out to provide expensive housing for people who want an urban lifestyle in a rural setting. We are losing the continuity of families have lived here for generations because of the high cost of housing. We need young families to live here to keep preschool open. A village without these facilities is a dead village. I'm concerned the village is becoming ever more gentrified and that the connection to the land is being lost, rural life is not just a picture postcard and can be noisy and muddy. Re Amazon voucher I don't know why modern slavery is being supported.
- Continuation of the publishing of the Stonesfield Slate
- I think some of the questions are vague and lack context. If you ask about pollution in the river you need to specify how, e.g farm run off. Questions are too ambiguous.
- Thank you for all your efforts
- Thank you for all your efforts!! Recognising people working at home could also be good. There used to be a community meet up at the pub. With more people working at home, this might be a great thing to kick-start.
- Improve sewers before any more homes. Stop Thames water pollution. Stop over large buildings on tiny plots. Fill pot holes. Stop light pollution gardens and gates and drives on the Ridings. Stop cameras cctv on roads. Make them own property only
- Improvement in the local shop or an alternative shop that stocks food for meals with less alcohol, soft drinks for sale.

- Stop Thames water polluting river Improve bus reliability and reduce cancelled buses Sort drug problem in village and action against dealers in village Improve bins. Go back to proper bins not poo bins
- Retain social space including keeping the pub as a pub and the pub garden as a pub garden. Reduce parking at junctions which cause a safety hazard.
- Lack of use of foot paths and knowledge of the village is a function of the very short time we have lived here not that I don't absolutely value them.
- I would like to see a stop to developers avoiding the provision of affordable housing by providing land for other uses like sport or burial plots instead.
- Better planning to help enhance the natural areas we have. Planned seating and greener areas in the village Stop putting traffic signs up, and consider the removal of some of the repeaters, they are becoming a blight on the beauty of the village. Can i suggest more considerable traffic calming measures, if needed. Have a view on the flight path of Oxford airport and noise pollution this could cause if the path was diverted over the village.
- I strongly support the use of dedicated cycle paths. However I had to put that I would never use them as I'm unable to cycle anymore
- Stonesfield has changed enormously over my lifetime which is why as much of its character as possible should be preserved and maintained. Many dry stone walls, for example, have fallen into disrepair in the rural areas, gates and stiles are broken etc.
- Please keep pushing for the pub!
- Some of my activities eg cycling are limited as I have a disabled husband. Noise / music coming from the Village Hall social club is very very loud at times on Saturday. I had to turn on 34 couldn't hear the TV otherwise - only way to drown out the noise and hear our programs. Wish people wouldn't shout and scream and bark at 11:30 at night. These are not little children.
- Please consider the need for a lollipop lady or similar to support village school children crossing the road near the tight bend past the shop. So many children daily attempt to cross this road and is incredibly unsafe. I would love to be able to encourage my daughter to walk semi-independently to school but due to this blind junction I don't think I'll ever be able to do this. I've seen so many near misses here. Equally, the narrow road at pond hill is lethal when a coach, bus, or more than one car travels through. It's not going to be long before there's a serious incident. Additionally, it would be amazing to find a premises locally that acts like a coffee shop/provides fresh bread etc. For new mums, and those working from home, living in the village can be quite isolating. I know I'd buy at least a coffee out daily on the way to/from school drop off, and it would give me a good excuse to leave the house at lunchtime too and step away from the screen. If the village shop had a coffee counter that would do it. Otherwise if the hairdressers ever goes it would be a fantastic location for a coffee shop/deli/bakery. I'd consider jacking in my corporate job to do it!
- We need street lights, people are scared to go out after dark because its so dark
- Even though 20mph speed limit village, there seems to be a total disregard by motorists, lots of villagers are commenting on this. Also, litter appears to be a big problem especially dog poo bags, counted 5 hanging from trees and bushes on a walk yesterday.

- The pedestrian 'pavement' down pond hill is incredibly dangerous. People drive fast and over the line, on a number of occasions I have had to dive into the hedge or wall to avoid being knocked over. Is there a way of using bollards to stop people crossing the painted line to keep pedestrians, dogs and children safe?
- Thank you for all the time and effort that has clearly gone into this survey, and the subsequent collating. It's much appreciated and I hope it makes a difference.
- Buses are very important to a number of people who cannot drive due to health issues. Also to reduce the number of cars on the roads. The 20 mph is not helpful as are the no of flashing signs and white lines. 20 mph produces more emissions. 30 was fine and acceptable. Most people are not stupid and drive within the village limitations without having to be directed!! The village should look like a village not a town! With regard to building there are too many executive houses, built at the expense of fields. We need to think of fauna and flora. We need housing that is really affordable plus social housing to keep young people in the village. The sewage problem in the village and in the river needs addressing. We do not need to become a tourist area. We had problems with this when many people turned up at Brook Lane and in the woods, cars all over the place and rubbish left behind. If we are over developed we will lose our charm and Stonesfield will be spoiled for ever.
- A bus route to witney?
- Can we comment on planning applications for local energy generation measures such as solar and wind? I for one would support this if undertaken in a measured way to help the local community.
- I'd like to see the pub reopen.
- I appreciate all the hard work that has gone into the survey, however the survey and questions feel very weighted towards the need for profit seeking property developers to build more and more houses, and to concrete over more and more land, almost as if it is 'fait accompli', even the word 'nimby' and an explanation is printed in the survey! I take exception to the Q28 regarding potential green space for housing developments. For example it is cynical in my view to include the village playing field, that is simply scaremongering and 100% will not be built on. By including the playing field in the survey it is designed to make other spaces more palatable. It is simply manipulative. Q31 I object to the three questions, again manipulative, by answering the question I'm implying that I agree with development. I don't. The Charity Farm development is a classic example of a Bicester style housing estate plonked on the edge of our village, no thought to its design, totally inappropriate and out of keeping with the tradition and history of a village in the AONB. Sunderland Close is however a good example where architecture, design and planning has taken note of its surroundings. It would be terribly sad if Stonesfield ended up being 'developed' in the way our local neighbours Freeland and Long Hanborough have. Fortunately for Stonesfield we are in the Cotswold AONB and must use that status to protect ourselves from inappropriate housing developments. On a separate issue, especially with the Botley solar farm proposal on the local agenda, it's worth noting that not one newly built house as part of of a housing development in the village has been built with solar panels on the roof.
- Need to support a drive to ensure water quality in the local rivers are protected in terms of quality/cleanliness
- Can't walk now but used to use all these walks at least once a month

- There needs to be small rural exception sites for housing people local to Stonesfield only, this should be ring fenced and not open to the district. Perhaps an enhanced role for Stonesfield Charitable Trust in managing such properties.
- We need to ensure we don't lose the S3 bus service. Due to the recent changes the night bus no longer serves Combe, Stonesfield, Fawler and Charlbury. We also need to get the pub back up and running - I realise this is easier said than done! We certainly do not need any further housing development in the village / outskirts.
- Used to walk a lot and use busses but don't now
- The lack of a pub is a big disadvantage to the village. I very much hope that a community pub can be established.
- I think we should have a contingency plan in place in the event of an emergency or in the event of social breakdown.
- we must continue to protect the size, shape and feel of our village. the infrastructure cannot sustain a large scale new housing development. Stonesfield is a village and must remain a village and a village that has a post office, shop and pub. all three are essential components of a successful community.
- Medication from Charlbury pharmacy to our shop would helpful for most people.
- Q31 in survey monkey did not allow for me to state I would support the NP promoting initiatives to work locally. I add also that my stance re the NP insisting on off street parking (Q31) is based on a belief that the NP should be pushing for better public transport thereby negating the need for off street parking.
- We need our pub!
- Young people/students need to be taken into consideration more, attitudes feel sometimes hostile towards youths
- Supervised crossing point for children near the shop Warning traffic signs near narrow point on Pond Hill More/better maintained rubbish bins
- Please do everything possible to re-establish The White Horse as a functioning local pub - whether community- or privately-owned.
- A bus service to Witney so that our young people can get to the town for leisure, education, etc. Witney has great education/further training opportunities, but they are useless to our young people who cannot yet drive.
- Larger scale housing developments to date have provided houses representing fake Victorian, Georgian, Edwardian themes and use low quality materials such as reconstituted stone, fibre-cement faux lintels, PVC windows and imitation welsh slate roofs. The designs lack both the quality of material and design detailing of the older styles that they seek to emulate. Higher quality, more contemporary design is achievable and should be required of developers. Obviously greater respect must be shown with sites immediately adjacent to the Conservation Areas but elsewhere there should be requirements for better designs incorporating a mix of materials rather than the unimaginative, repetitive reconstituted stone block buildings that have been lazily and repetitively used. A design standard that encourages/requires better is achievable and should be promoted and supported by WODC to move away from these thoroughly uninspiring concepts that are continually offered.

- As a temporary resident, I feel that the views of long term residents should take priority over my own.
- road safety
- Thank you for putting this together and giving the village the chance to have their say
- We have far too many large developments at high cost under the low cost housing provision threshold, which our councilors are invisible from or don't answer communication. Our utilities infrastructure, especially water and drainage is overstretched with low pressure getting worse with each development, yet planning permission goes ahead. Public transport appalling meaning cars are a necessity, yet parish council (one member in particular) is at war with the car missing the point that we are a village turning into a commuting suburb. Our once beautiful and peaceful walks are overrun with people and Stonesfield is no longer a pleasant place to live. We will be moving out of the area soon as it no longer is the place we enjoyed living.
- Some means of controlling creeping development, as seen in Farley Lane. Some means of ensuring adequate infrastructure is in place BEFORE any further development in the village. Some means of enforcing the newly imposed 20mph in the village.
- Why is the speed limit of callows farm lane national speed limit for such a minor road?
- Ensure safety of pedestrians by keeping pavements clear of parked vehicles (especially by the school), overgrown hedges and dogs. Despite new traffic measures, parking on Laughton Hill (from Lower farm to the Tap) still makes driving into the village difficult. Need to educate walkers to keep to footpaths across private land. Query Q24 6 Are there designated footpaths across common land or is there free access to all areas?
- I would like to see the village attain a dark sky status in accordance with the International Dark Sky Association. More effort to prevent motorists speeding through the village.
- Maintain good bus links for non drivers. better direct link to hospitals. Keep pub at all costs as a community asset . Need a S3 bus for around 8.30am in order to make link for early hospital appointments hospital
- Vital the pub is not allowed change of use. Good transport links would bring visitors to village who would use the pub.
- Footpath from Farley lane to akeman street please
- I disagreed with the 20th speed limit. As far as I can tell it has been a total waste of time and our money. The roads are a disgrace, even after the potholes have been repaired they are still like cart tracks.
- Thank you for doing this. This is my strap line for the plan..... More pubs less poo in our rivers!
- Thanks team!
- There is nowhere to go for a nice meal in the village apart from the winter warmer and the Wednesday fish and chips. A takeaway service will be popular if reasonably priced. This could be available if the White Horse could reopen
- Nope

- Stonesfield is within an AONB and any future development should be resisted to preserve the green spaces and countryside, national food security and wildlife.
- I think the bus service is a reasonable service for a village, and it is something that needs protecting, not necessarily improving.
- Manage housing development in a balanced but realistic way. Focus upon building homes for people who most need it and at a price that is really affordable! Increase council tax for second/holiday homes.
- No
- More cycle paths connecting Blenheim to other villages / Woodstock
- I forgot to add I wish people would stop parking/blocking pavements, makes it unsafe to walk the Woodstock Road at times
- provision of outside storage for new homes if no garage provision. Electric charging facilities adjacent to village hall. Cinema in the sticks? Existing S3 bus route supports the west and centre of village well, but the eastern side of village and Longore - where there are many elderly residents are served less well. Perhaps bus should re-route via Longore into Woodstock Road, rather than through the narrow centre would be safer and support more people as there could still be a stop near the memorial. Also the junction in front of the White Horse needs consideration as people have mentioned damage to the green and it is a blind corner - it would be great if it were redesigned to create a larger green with only one road coming down.
- All new builds should come with a renewable energy guarantee. Solar, heat pump infrastructure should be installed. Grey water usage should be encouraged.
- A path down the ridings. From riding close to the tap. To increase safety on the school run
- As you have asked for the respondent's post code and taking into account that members of the Steering group almost certainly live in the village, I cannot see how anonymity for the respondent can be assured. Furthermore, I am surprised that in an era where minimum waste of resources is of paramount importance, you decided to issue every resident with a paper copy when so many have access to a computer, tablet or smart phone. Surely you could have found a better way of ensuring maximum participation in the survey.
- no
- Looking at housing to meet multi generational living i.e homes with annex for care of elderly parents. Transport for the elderly who may not be able to walk or stand at bus stops.
- Unsociable parking
- I feel very strongly that there is not much for teenagers to do. They hang around in the kids playpark which isn't great for them or the kids. There is a bit of land next to the tennis courts where we could build a skatepark which would benefit kids of all ages. It would get them out, socialising, exercising. They would be far enough away from houses to not disturb people. If they had a shelter it would be a good meeting place. When I have been to skateparks in other villages, often the big kids are teaching the little kids and there is usually a nice atmosphere. I don't have a teenager yet but I do wonder what my young child will do in the village as they get older.

- Is this part of a formal national or local plan following specific guidelines?
- There seems very little provision for teenagers in the village - evidence of boredom in the incidences of vandalism to cars and anti-social behaviour in the woods?
- Poor fabric / appearance of village hall. Get money from developers or raise funds.
- Our S3 bus service is vital and I would hate to see it go, especially as it's the only form of transport for some people.
- Replace the 30mph speed limit in the village. Lower the speed limit and you lower the gear and cause more air pollution.
- More modern village hall
- Mirror should be installed on the corner of church street to pond hill so that bend is less dangerous
- More frequent S3 buses
- It would be appropriate to allow development of small workshops possibly with living accommodation, to encourage work within the community, rather than even more expensive housing for commuters
- Some of the survey was not as clear as I would have liked - I don't recognise all the paths which I love to explore so I hope to find them. Also, 'do I support further development of housing which has good infrastructure considerations' - I would prefer that the village feel continues and that we don't have a lot of building in and around, but I also understand we need to have our quota so yes, I do want it to be done sympathetically and with careful consideration, but not to spoil the village feel. I think it is vital that though we may not wish to see the village change, we have to take ownership that to some extent it will and we shall see building of new homes. Therefore we should put proposals forward about e.g. affordable housing, homes for the elderly that allow them to stay in the village etc. Are there other villages that are very proactive on this, e.g. Steeple Aston that have similar issues with some good advice or suggestions? Many thanks for the opportunity to do this survey.
- No
- The less frequent the bus services are the less we rely on them and use them. The more frequently the bus services are the more we are likely to use them. The older we become the more we are likely to be depended upon them.
- I think any housing developments are at the request of the Parish Council initially and not just an opportunity to make money from developers with no interest in the village. There has been a lot of development in the village recently but only a small proportion affordable. Smaller units for first time buyers and elderly people who wish to downsize but stay in the village would be ideal.
- The importance of re-opening The White Horse as a pub.
- The Neighbourhood Plan should explicitly preclude major development in Stonesfield. Such development is already restricted by the National Planning Policy Framework and the Local Development Plan, by virtue of location within the Cotswolds AONB, other than in exceptional circumstances, and there are no conceivable exceptional circumstances



which could apply in Stonesfield. Therefore such a restriction would not be inconsistent with the Local Development Plan or the NPPF.

- See Q4 No1: if the padlocked gates at the top and bottom ends of the sledging field below the Scout hut could be turned into kissing gates ( with a simple V-shaped structure added to the existing gates), then they could be used instead of the stiles without the risk of sheep or cattle getting out. Q 4 No3: The Village Hall must be losing bookings because it 's impossible to book it at short notice , 24 -36 hrs. Is there a better way?
- I feel any new developments should be made to use material sympathetic with the local area. When extending a house here, the materials are quite rightly specified in great detail. The householders often go to great expense to comply with the planning stipulations. However, on larger developments it seems developers are able to use cheaper materials, not in keeping with the local area (i.e. reconstituted stone and cheaper roof tiles.) William Buckland way is an example of this, as are some of the new homes near Farley Lane.
- In general, the structure of the village and the difficulty of upgrading essential infrastructure (eg sewage, access, and transport) means that development in and around the village must be very limited and genuinely affordable housing should be the absolute priority when any development is considered.
- I HAVE ANSWERED SAYING 'NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE' TO CHOICES WHERE I FELT THAT THE ANSWER DEPENDED ON THE SPECIFIC PROJECT AND COULD YOU BE GIVEN A GENERIC RESPONSE
- THOSE QUESTIONS I HAVE ANSWERED SAYING NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE, ARE BASED ON NOT KNOWING MORE DETAILS OF THE PROJECTS.
- Visible community policing.
- Some people that have offstreet parking do not use it and should be asked to use it Newbuild should only be allowed services can cope or builders will pay for upgrades require adequate off-road parking is essential Do not let the village spread willy-nilly
- Regular bus device to Witney would be good
- Thank you for running this important survey which will enable the village to plan for the future.
- The wording of questions 31 & 32 online differs from the hard copy. I combine car & buses for journeys to Oxford.
- I suspect that this information will feed in to the zoning idea and plans already widely rejected by residence of Oxford. If so, its a very bad idea and ultimately will be resisted by sensible citizens who will not accept their freedom being taken away. I hope I am wrong and its not part of the C40 idea.
- The present bus service to Witney is very inadequate as it doesn't allow sufficient time in Witney to do an average amount of shopping therefore I do not use it. I believe that they Evenlode, once a sparkling river full of life, is now a murky dish and is a health hazard I believe this must be addressed
- Save the White Horse please
- The state of the river is appalling. How discharging of sewerage is allowed in this day and age is unbelievable. It is very important that this is tackled.

- Keeping out large developments. Small developments only
- Thank you so much for pulling this together.
- What about a village wind turbine? Probably more efficient than a field of solar panels, land beneath it could still be used, and it would generate power in any windy conditions day or night.
- No
- Sort out the sewerage infrastructure
- No thank you
- Long term planning should try to reduce car ownership within the village to allow for more multi-modal transport to take precedent. Naturally this will be much easier once dedicated cycle routes are implemented however this cannot happen fast enough! Please also ensure that the routes that are made are suitably wide enough for comfortable use with a Velomobile. Furthermore I strongly believe we should lobby to fine all 4x4 owning households and >2 car household! I do not wish for my day to be disturbed by humming motors and rat racers!
- Special parking areas to stop cars parking across gateways especially in High Street and Boot Street.
- I would only like to further highlight the need for speed awareness in the village - most are sticking to the 20 mph MAX, but on roads like High Street or Boot Street, 10-15 mph is much safer considering the road width. Some drivers are still travelling at 30mph down these roads where pets and children are nearby.
- Development is a very difficult subject to find a solution to. People need places to live and yet all development can impact the environment (biological and human). I'd be wary of cramming more houses into existing developed space, I think it could make Stonesfield very cramped, unless it's definitely sufficient and it must include parking and adequate services such as sewerage. I'd support small scale housing development if needed, sensible sites were considered and the improvement of things like bus transport was factored in - but it's usually not cost effective for constructors. Thanks for taking the time to gather all these responses and good luck!
- Wildlife protections including river pollution
- Disagree with Amazon Voucher. Support a good local firm instead
- Maybe retirement housing/flats - to enable older residents to stay in the village/free up housing stock? At present, development everywhere in UK seems to be primarily developer-led, and profit-driven. It is hoped the application of the Plan will change this balance, to village-led initiatives, emphasising the paramount importance of infrastructure, LOCAL opinion, and local needs. Any thoughts of housing for the elderly
- A suggestion for a tree shelter belt outside the access to North Farm and continuing along Farley Lane to the west linking with the future burial ground or otherwise along the track to the allotments.
- Provision of later bus services at the weekend. The lack of one limits young people in the village from socialising and seeing friends/family etc as they are prevented from cheap and easy ways to get home

- Like to see more frequent bus service from Stonesfield to Whitney and return. Dismayed to see empty double-decker S3. More cost effective option - run 'nipper busses' to link with S3 in Woodstock.
- We are a small rural village, this is the reason why many people have decided to move to Stonesfield. There is often discussions/proposals about introducing street lighting to the village...this I STRONGLY OPPOSITE. We are in an area of outstanding natural beauty and oppose light pollution. The parish is a haven for both flora and fauna, some of the species are local and rare, so I oppose any sort of encroachment/expansion of the village.
- I strongly believe that the majority of people who live in Stonesfield live here because it is a rural village and additional building has already started to change the village. That is not a NIMBY comment but a fact. I also would love to have most of the additional signage in the village removed, including flashing signs next to the war memorial. Additional signage will not stop those who speed, but it does clutter up our beautiful village.
- As a resident of some 38 years - and I know I'm going against established village opinion - I really do feel that some sort of solar powered, low-key village lighting scheme could be an asset to the village (on existing telegraph poles maybe?). At present I personally never venture out to walk any of the various clubs after dark in the winter. Too many walking hazards (potholes). The moon isn't always enough to see by and torches... ? As for the seeing the night sky, there's often too much cloud cover. It's also possible that lighting might deter things like theft and car- keying which, although most don't like to admit to antisocial-ness in our village, does happen! Whilst many think the village is already well lit enough we should also remember that much useful lighting along some streets is actually provided (and paid for) by the residents of those streets!
- The S3 bus service - I realise I may be one of the few people in the village to rely on it so heavily for work at the JR in Oxford, but I really am put out by the recent service cuts to the village. I spend 3 - 4 hours a day on a 28 mile round commute even though I have changed my hours to try and accommodate the recent changes. I would get a car but there is limited parking as we all know, and I'd would generally like to use public transport to help take one more car off already congested roads. As it stands, I am now looking to move out of the village primarily due to my commute.
- My partner relies on the bus service to get to work at the JR. The commuting time, cost, and lack of service provision are making us consider moving out of the village, which is a shame. We don't want to have to buy a second car just because there aren't enough busses, plus we have nowhere to park the one car we do have as a household.
- The issue of water pollution is absolute central. Our children and dogs get sick if any of that water passes their lips. There is \*no\* life left in that water as it is absolutely toxic. Not just sewage but all the untreated chemicals getting into the water. Having worked in the aid sector for over 20 years this blows my mind. The right to access to clean water is a fundamental human right. The water coming out of our taps stinks of chlorine and god knows what half the time. Our dogs will not drink the tap water unless they are absolutely desperate because it smells so awful. With likely restrictions on water supply as the droughts worsen year on year it is important that we are able to eg get emergency water from the river in our community. Given the appalling quality of the water is a direct trade off made by Thames Water - shareholder dividends vs returning profits into provision of basic service maintenance and the necessary investment in key infrastructure. They should have their contract REMOVED unless they take immediate action to stop paying profits out of the country unless/until they have rectified ALL infrastructure shortfalls and

actually delivered the infrastructure upgrades needed to (a) ensure all leaks, spills, and overflows are wholly eliminated, and (b) ensure the infrastructure is in place for future decades of efficient, effective, non-toxic service provision.

- Particularly if we lose the S3 I think it would be a better service to have a bus link to Long Hanborough station. This would significantly improved travel to Oxford or London. That should have a good support from the County Council and also Oxford Council as they wanted you reduce cars in Oxford. Currently the S3 is prohibitively long to get to Oxford to commute. A link via Long Hanborough should reduce this to under 30 minutes providing the timetable is linked correctly
- We must protect business spaces. We cannot have another situation like the absurd fiasco when someone moved into the village and immediately complained and drove out Witney Welding. Villages need businesses which keep people in work who in turn support local services. Villages don't need blow-in NIMBYs who have been here 5 minutes and want to change everything.
- Please protect the village pub. Please continue to support the tree planting initiative.
- Would love to see cars out of the village e.g. with designated parking 'lots' on the edge of the village, like Vauban in Germany.
- I think it's important to remove the street lighting that has been erected. Other villages with chicanes don't have it. I think it's important to remove the clutter of street signs, it really spoils the village and is unnecessary - you can't read them ALL at the same time! If there is any non-affordable housing built, I feel it should be bungalows.
- There is potential for renewable energy generation without necessarily compromising the character of the village and surrounds. The need to think a bit differently about renewable energy has indeed been the subject of debate within the Cotswold National Landscape strategy process, where it is accepted that the Cotswolds has continually changed over many centuries and must continue to do so, with major challenges such as climate change demanding a response. Although I strongly support the protection of the natural environment I also believe the future will not look like the past. The village should consider being part of the trend to the 'democratisation of energy production'.
- More about making Stonesfield a green energy village. What about a village wind turbine? What about solar panels on houses? More on getting farmers involved in good management of the countryside.
- I'd like cleaner air (coal and unseasoned wood burning) to be considered given the considerable evidence of impact on human health. Thank you
- The S3 bus service is vital for teenagers to still want to live in stonesfield because it's the only way to be completely independent without driving
- No
- I would strongly oppose a village consulting room as it would be impossible to offer an appropriate standard of medical care. It would make much more sense to support local transport arrangements to enable less mobile people to travel to the well established and largely purpose-built medical facilities in the neighbouring villages.
- Thank you We need to think to the future: sadly greater obesity, reduced physical mobility, older people and providing for this group
- No more large house building projects. Stop on road car parking

- Thank you for taking the trouble to organise the survey.
- a big thank you to the sub committee who have worked hard to put this survey together
- I have carefully studied the blenheim plan. It's hard changing, but we are relying on cars so much and that just means we grow more car friendly transport systems. I wonder whether houses that are beautiful and in the vernacular need to be pressed more. There is a bunch of red roof houses behind the Combe Road, the pictures were lovely with grey robes. not so much when you actually look at what we got. we need to reserve some genuine meaning for green space if we're going to go out to village. Orchards, streams, ponds. If you're going to build and think about building fences and wildlife friendly, how about only giving permission if the gardens are significant and communal in parts. That's much better for children much better for wildlife and much less offensive. Build down as well, we should be using basements
- We still need better provision for teenagers to safely 'hang out' in the village
- We need the pub back, can't the parish council compulsory but it?
- Potentially looking at a one way traffic system in the village
- Please do everything possible to facilitate the reopening of the White Horse. The survey didn't prompt much on sustainability solutions for the village, perhaps a missed opportunity? eg. opportunity for local community renewable energy solutions or waste treatment. Perhaps to ask if increased allotment space or facilities would be welcomed too? Also questions to invite participation (perhaps that comes later?!) Happy to help in the future.
- I just want to keep Stonesfield as a nice small village. This village has had enough new housing and it won't be able to cope with even more.
- Improvements and updating of the sewage system
- Clean up the river , stop the discharge of sewage into it by Thames water
- The river water quality has sadly deteriorated by unaccountable water companies and unsustainable housing developments, this must be improved and be more accountable to enable future generations to enjoy the river and its surrounding area.
- We need easier access to hospitals. At the moment it takes three buses Stonesfield – Woodstock. Woodstock - park-and-ride. Park-and-ride -hospital. No buses in the weekend hospitals.
- More frequent highways drainage clearance and ditch maintenance.
- We need a better bus service, not everyone has access to a car or will have to think about not driving in the coming years
- Local people should have reduced rate for Village Hall hire. I hire Freeland Village Hall as a very cheap rate offered to locals
- Currently there is no 'heart' to this lovely village. Reopening The White Horse pub would go a long way to providing this. The village store is adequate but could be much improved in what it stocks. It is in desperate need of an exterior 'facelift' more in keeping with the local surroundings.

- I feel Britain is heading for a water supply crisis because of climate change and the incompetence of water authorities. A new local reservoir should have been started years ago and the leaks fixed. Thames Water is trying to improve its PR but I have no trust in them over water supply or sewage management. I believe the main interest is the money they make out of us. These priorities can only be changed by renationalisation
- I love the fact the the village does not have streetlights, and would strongly like it to stay that way!
- I would like to really emphasise the impact that the even love river has on our village and ask that the neighbourhood plan specifically address the pollution levels in our beautiful river.
- Management of sewage and cleaning up of Evenlode
- You can do more publicity with the media
- 
- I think all good plans deserve better support
- 
- Not yet. I think good plans start with our lives
- Not yet
- I think we should work together to protect our home
- Work together to create a perfect environment